

REMARKS

Claims 2 through 10 and 12 have been amended. Claims 1 through 13 remain in the application.

Claims 2 through 10 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Claims 2, 4, and 6 through 10 have been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the second door. Claims 2, 3, 5, and 8 have been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the second passageway. Claim 12 has been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the motor home. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that claims 2 through 10 and 12 are allowable over the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claims 1, 11, and 13 have been allowed.

Claim 2 through 10 and 12 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as set forth in this Office Action.

Accordingly, claims 2 through 10 and 12 are allowable over the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, based on the above amendments to these claims. It is respectfully submitted that claims 2 through 10 and 12 are in a condition for allowance, which allowance is solicited.

Based on the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are in a condition for allowance, which allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Daniel H. Bliss

Reg. No. 32,398

BLISS McGLYNN, P.C.
2075 West Big Beaver Road, Suite 600
Troy, Michigan 48084
(248) 649-6090

Date: January 6, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 1646.00002